

SCEA also likes to play games with their corporate structure. They are whining to the court, saying they didn't remove OtherOS and that they are just the messenger. And that they can't get the documents and communications saying why because SCEI has them. Then at the same time they assert, well you know we can get the documents, but you have to agree to never name SCEI as a defendant. If I were the plaintiffs, the first thing I would have done is added SCEI and got a motion to compel on those docs.

As a quick sidenote, they claim restoring Linux to your PS3 is "not only prohibited under Sony's agreements, but is illegal" This is an example of a lie. EULAs are not law. Sony's beliefs are not law. You win a case cleanly against someone who restored the Linux you took away from their PS3, then we'll talk.

And some of Sony's words just really unsettle me. "You don't have an ownership right in the software that Sony Computer allows you to use. That's the whole point of the license agreement, it's not an ownership interest, it is a privilege that Sony conveys on them." Should I feel privileged to give you \$300? If you take the privilege back, what can I do with my uncontested ownership physical PS3? If I stop using your software and install my own, you'll sue me.

But most troubling of all is what Sony is doing to the people who have stepped up to represent the class. Sony has attempted to demand inspection, and by inspection they mean full copies, of not only the representatives PS3s but of their home personal computers. To any reasonable person, it's clear Sony doesn't expect to find "evidence" there, it's just simply to harass the representatives. And the harassment worked on one, he pulled out of the suit citing privacy reasons. Know what Sony did? They tried to demand inspection of his things anyway. You get the message? Basically if Sony does bad things, you better not call them out, or they'll attempt to make your life hell.