***Please submit a separate comment for each proposed class.***

***NOTE: This form must be used in all three rounds of comments by all commenters not submitting short-form comments directly through regulations.gov, whether the commenter is supporting, opposing, or merely providing pertinent information about a proposed exemption.***

***When commenting on a proposed expansion to an existing exemption, you should focus your comments only on those issues relevant to the proposed expansion.***

**[ ] Check here if multimedia evidence is being provided in connection with this comment**

*Commenters can provide relevant multimedia evidence to support their arguments. Please note that such evidence must be separately submitted in conformity with the Office’s instructions for submitting multimedia evidence, available on the Copyright Office website at* [*https://www.copyright.gov/1201/2018*](https://www.copyright.gov/1201/2018)*.*

**Item A. Commenter Information**

*Identify the commenter and provide a means to contact the commenter and/or the commenter’s representatives, if any.*

**Item B. Proposed Class Addressed**

*Identify the proposed exemption that the comment addresses by the number and name of the class set forth in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (e.g., “Proposed Class 3: Audiovisual Works – Space-Shifting”).*

**Item C. Overview**

*Provide a brief summary of the circumvention activity sought to be exempted or opposed and why.*

**Item D. Technological Protection Measure(s) and Method(s) of Circumvention**

*Describe the TPM(s) that control access to the work and the relevant method(s) of circumvention. It would be most helpful to the Office if sufficient information is provided to allow the Office to understand the nature and basic operation of the relevant technologies, as well as how they are disabled or bypassed.*

**Item E. Asserted Adverse Effects on Noninfringing Uses**

*Comments should be directed at answering the following question: Are users of a copyrighted work adversely affected by the prohibition on circumvention in their ability to make noninfringing uses of a class of copyrighted works, or likely to be so adversely affected in the next three years? Commenters are encouraged to focus on the following elements:*

* *Whether the proposed class includes at least some works protected by copyright.*
* *Whether the uses at issue are noninfringing under title 17.*
* *Whether users are adversely affected in their ability to make such noninfringing uses or, alternatively, whether users are likely to be adversely affected in their ability to make such noninfringing uses during the next three years. Discussion of this element should include an evaluation of section 1201(a)(1)(C)’s five statutory factors: (i) the availability for use of copyrighted works; (ii) the availability for use of works for nonprofit archival, preservation, and educational purposes; (iii) the impact that the prohibition on the circumvention of technological measures applied to copyrighted works has on criticism, comment, news reporting, teaching, scholarship, or research; (iv) the effect of circumvention of technological measures on the market for or value of copyrighted works; and (v) such other factors as the Librarian considers appropriate.*
* *Whether the statutory prohibition on circumventing access controls is the cause of the adverse effects.*

*This section should identify all statutory provisions, case law, and/or other legal authority the commenter wishes the Office to consider in connection with the proposed class. Commenters should also provide an evidentiary basis to support their arguments, including discussion or refutation of specific examples of adverse effects on noninfringing uses and, if available, relevant documentary and/or multimedia evidence.*

*Commenters should demonstrate, or refute, that the asserted adverse effects are real, tangible, and concrete, and not merely hypothetical, theoretical, or speculative—that is, they are not merely possible, but probable. This discussion should include an evaluation of section 1201(a)(1)(C)’s five statutory factors. For example, in analyzing the first statutory factor, commenters should examine whether there are any potential alternatives that permit the asserted noninfringing use(s) without the need for circumvention, and whether such potential alternatives are realistic options.*

**Documentary Evidence**

*Commenters are encouraged to submit documentary evidence to support their arguments or illustrate pertinent points concerning the proposed exemption. Any such documentary evidence should be attached to this form and uploaded as one document through regulations.gov.*