



**United States Copyright Office**

Library of Congress · 101 Independence Avenue SE · Washington, DC 20559-6000 · [www.copyright.gov](http://www.copyright.gov)

April 29, 2021

Kendra Albert  
Mayze Teitler  
Madeline Woodall  
Cyberlaw Clinic at the Berkman Klein  
Center for Internet and Society  
Harvard Law School  
[kalbert@law.harvard.edu](mailto:kalbert@law.harvard.edu)

Jonathan Band  
Library Copyright Alliance  
[jband@policybandwidth.com](mailto:jband@policybandwidth.com)

Brandon Butler  
University of Virginia Library  
[bcb4y@virginia.edu](mailto:bcb4y@virginia.edu)

Henry Lowood  
Stanford University Libraries  
[lowood@stanford.edu](mailto:lowood@stanford.edu)

Bo Ruberg  
University of California, Irvine  
[bruberg@uci.edu](mailto:bruberg@uci.edu)

Steven R. Englund  
Entertainment Software Association  
Jenner & Block  
[senglund@jenner.com](mailto:senglund@jenner.com)

J. Matthew Williams  
Joint Creators and Copyright Owners  
Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP  
[mxw@msk.com](mailto:mxw@msk.com)

Re: Docket No. 2020-11  
Exemptions to Prohibition Against Circumvention of Technological Measures Protecting  
Copyrighted Works

Dear Participants:

Thank you for your participation in the proceedings related to Proposed Class 14a (Computer Programs – Preservation) and 14b (Video Games – Preservation) as part of the Copyright Office’s Section 1201 rulemaking. As a follow up to certain matters discussed at the hearing, we would like to provide you with an opportunity to provide written responses to the items below.

1. Comments submitted by the Software Preservation Network (“SPN”) and the Library Copyright Alliance (“LCA”) include citations to survey responses and interviews. The Office invites SPN and LCA to submit the specific survey questions and responses and/or any other documentation relevant to these communications that they would like to be made part of the record in this proceeding. Please note that any materials submitted will be made publicly available on the Copyright Office website.
2. During the hearing, proponents indicated that they are not seeking to provide unrestricted access to copies of works created pursuant to the proposed exemption. To what extent

are proponents willing to consider additional regulatory language providing specific limitations on the permitted uses of such copies? Proponents are invited to propose any such language that they believe is sufficient to address the concerns expressed by opponents. Opponents may provide a response to proponents' submission.

Proponents' responses should be submitted no later than **Thursday, May 13, 2021**. Opponents' responses should be submitted no later than **Monday, May 24, 2021**. Such responses should identify the responding party and the proposed class at issue, and responses to Question 2 should be no more than five pages in length. Please note that no further exhibits will be accepted. Please submit your responses to John Riley at [jril@copyright.gov](mailto:jril@copyright.gov) and to me at [kamer@copyright.gov](mailto:kamer@copyright.gov).

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Kevin R. Amer". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

Kevin R. Amer  
Deputy General Counsel