Oyewole v. Ora 291 F. Supp. 3d 422 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 8, 2018)

Year	2018
Court	United States District Court for the Southern District of New York
Key Facts	Plaintiff Abiodun Oyewole ("Oyewole"), a founding member of the spoken-word group The Last Poets, wrote the song "When the Revolution Comes" in 1968. According to Oyewole, the song includes repeated uses of the phrase "party and bulls**t" to "challenge[] and encourage[] people to not waste time with 'party and bulls**t,' but to move towards success." Decades later, two recording artists— Christopher Wallace a.k.a. "The Notorious B.I.G." and Rita Ora—separately released two songs (entitled "Party and Bullshit" and "Party," respectively) that repeat the phrase "party and bulls**t" in lyrics that celebrate self-indulgence. Oyewole brought suit against Wallace, Ora, and composers, producers, publishers, and distributors associated with the songs (collectively, "Defendants"), alleging copyright infringement. Specifically, Oyewole claimed Defendants appropriated and exploited the punchline, performance, lyrics, poem, rhythmic line and text of his original song. Defendants moved to dismiss on several grounds, including that their use of the phrase "party and bullshit" was fair use.
Issue	Whether using an existing lyrical phrase/pattern in a new song without permission constitutes fair use.
Holding	The court assumed Oyewole was the owner of the copyright in When the Revolution Comes, the phrase "party and bulls**t" was a protectable expression, and the works were substantially similar. In assessing the fair use defense, the court decided that the first factor, the purpose and character of the use, strongly weighed in favor of fair use. Although Defendants' songs clearly had a commercial purpose, the court held that Defendants' songs transformed the purpose of the "party and bulls**t" phrase from "one of condemnation to one of glorification." On the second factor, the nature of the copyrighted work, the court observed that Oyewole's song was creative, which weighed against fair use, but also that it was published, which favored fair use. Addressing the third factor, the amount and substantiality of the work, the court commented that whereas Defendants' songs repeat the phrase multiple times, it was only one phrase from the end of Oyewole's song and "not critically important to the song's message." Lastly, the court found the fourth factor, the effect of the use on the potential market for or value of the work, favored fair use because the songs appeal to different target audiences and are so dissimilar in character that Defendants' songs do not "provid[e] the public with a substitute" for Oyewole's work. Weighing the factors together, the court concluded Defendants' uses were fair uses and granted the Defendants' motion to dismiss. On appeal, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit affirmed the district court's ruling without a detailed discussion of the fair use factors.
_	
Tags	Second Circuit; Music

Source: U.S. Copyright Office Fair Use Index. For more information, see http://copyright.gov/fairuse/index.html.