currently priced outlets for selected item categories where the priced items are reinitiated two years after the original initiation, thus offering the chance that new goods will be selected for pricing. An example is prescription drugs where, based on current sales data, a new sample of prescribed drugs will be selected to replace the currently priced drugs. Since this reselection will include all currently dispensed drugs, those prescription drugs that have been introduced since the previous initiation will have a chance to be selected.

A key element completed during 2004 was to convert all on going data collection and transmission to electronic systems. The introduction of a Computer-Assisted Data Collection (CADC) for the C&S portion of the CPI has resulted in significant advantages by increasing productivity and improving the overall quality of the CPI. Electronic data collection and transmission provide long-term savings through a major reduction of mail, paperwork, and printing costs. Electronic systems allow for price collection to cover the entire month, reduce data capture mistakes, speed up review time, and to improve survey logistics management.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.


Title: Consumer Price Index Commodities and Services Survey.

OMB Number: 1220–0039.

Affected Public: Business or other nonprofit; not-for-profit institutions; and State, local, or tribal government.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Total number of respondents</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Total annual responses</th>
<th>Hours per response (average)</th>
<th>Estimated total burden hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pricing</td>
<td>42,314</td>
<td>Monthly/Bimonthly</td>
<td>385,904</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>127,348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiation</td>
<td>12,634</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>12,634</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>12,634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-initiation</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test pricing</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>1,235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>57,288</td>
<td></td>
<td>400,878</td>
<td></td>
<td>141,657</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Burden Cost (Capital/Startup): $0.

Total Burden Cost (Operating/Maintenance): $0.

III. Desired Focus of Comments

The Bureau of Labor Statistics is particularly interested in comments that:
• Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
• Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
• Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
• Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they also will become a matter of public record.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 11th day of January 2005.

Cathy Kazanowski,
Chief, Division of Management Systems,

[FR Doc. 05–1002 Filed 1–18–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–28–P

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Copyright Office

[Docket No. 2005–1 CARP]

Notice of Intent To Audit

AGENCY: Copyright Office, Library of Congress.

ACTION: Public notice.

SUMMARY: The Copyright Office of the Library of Congress is announcing receipt of three notices of intent to audit preexisting subscription services that transmit sound recordings under statutory licenses. The audits intend to verify statements of account for the years 2001, 2002, and 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tanya M. Sandros, Associate General Counsel, or Abiye O. Oyewole, CARP Specialist, Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel (CARP), P.O. Box 70977, Southwest Station, Washington, DC 20024–0977. Telephone: (202) 707–8380. Telefax: (202) 707–3423.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 114(d)(2) of title 17 of the United States Code provides statutory licensing requirements for subscription services that perform sound recordings by means of digital audio transmissions. Those that were in existence and were performing sound recordings by means of interactive audio-only subscription digital audio transmissions to the public for a fee on or before July 31, 1998, are known as “preexisting subscription services.” Three services fall within this category: DMX Music, Inc., Muzak LLC, and Music Choice (“the Services”). These services make payments of royalty fees to and file reports of sound recording performances with SoundExchange. SoundExchange is a collecting rights entity that was designated by the Librarian of Congress to collect and distribute royalty fees paid under section 114(d)(2) of the Code of Federal Regulations, an amendment to the Copyright Act, for preexisting subscriptions.

Pursuant to section 260.5 of title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations, an interested party may initiate an audit of any one of the three preexisting services. SoundExchange, as the designated collector and distributor of royalties paid by preexisting subscription services to interested copyright parties, is an interested party and may conduct one audit per calendar year of one or all of the Services for the purpose of verifying their statements of account. As a preliminary matter, the interested party is required to submit a notice of its intent to audit a preexisting subscription service with the Copyright Office and to serve this notice on the service to be audited. 37 CFR 260.5(c).

On December 21, 2004, SoundExchange filed with the Copyright Office three notices of intent to audit the preexisting subscription
NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

TIME AND DATE: 9:30 a.m., Tuesday, January 25, 2005.


STATUS: The one item is Open to the Public.


NEWS MEDIA CONTACT: Telephone: (202) 314–6100.

Individuals requesting specific accommodations should contact Ms. Carolyn Dargan at (202) 314–6305 by Friday, January 21, 2005.

The public may view the meeting via a live or archived Web cast by accessing a link under “News & Events” on the NTSB home page at http://www.ntsb.gov.


Dated: January 14, 2005.

Vicky D’Onofrio,
Federal Register Liaison Officer.
[FR Doc. 05–1138 Filed 1–18–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1410–33–S

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 030–05980, 030–05982]

In the Matter of Safety Light Corporation, Bloomsburg, PA; Order Suspending License (Effective Immediately)

Safety Light Corporation (the Licensee or SLC) is the holder of two Byproduct Material Licenses issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR part 30 for the facility at 4150–A Old Berwick Road near Bloomsburg, Pennsylvania. License No. 37–00030–02 authorizes the Licensee to characterize and decommission its contaminated facilities, equipment, and land. License No. 37–00030–08 authorizes, among other things, the Licensee to manufacture self-luminous signs and foils using tritium. The licenses were last renewed on December 28, 1999, and are due to expire on December 31, 2004.

On December 28, 1999, License Nos. 37–00030–02 and 37–00030–08 were renewed. As part of these renewals, License Conditions were included that exempted the Licensee from certain of the Commission’s financial assurance requirements and required the Licensee to develop plans which would address the Licensee Termination Rule (10 CFR part 20, subpart E). This exemption was granted in response to the Licensee’s request to the Commission to exempt the Licensee from the financial assurance decommissioning requirements set forth in 10 CFR 30.32 and 10 CFR 30.35, based on the lack of sufficient funds available at the time to assure that adequate financial ability existed to decommission the facility. In lieu of complying with 10 CFR 30.35, the Licensee committed to (1) develop a schedule and plan, for NRC review and approval, for additional site characterization and to develop revised cost estimates including strategies for site decommissioning that would comply with the criteria specified in the license termination rule, 10 CFR 30.36, and (2) contribute specified monthly payments ($7,000 per month in 2000; $8,000 per month in 2001 and 2002; and $9,000 per month in 2003 and 2004) to a decommissioning trust fund over the life of the license to support decommissioning activities. The NRC specifically approved an exemption, in Condition 16 of Amendment No. 51 for License No. 37–00030–02 and Condition 20.A of Amendment No. 13 for License No. 37–00030–08, provided that the licensee make the specified monthly payments into a decommissioning trust fund. The NRC granted the renewal of the two licenses based on the Licensee’s continued ability to provide sufficient remediation funding and adequate security of radioactive materials at the facility.

On November 21, 2003, the NRC learned, during telephone conversations with Licensee management, that the Licensee had not made all payments into its decommissioning trust fund, as required by Condition 16 (License No. 37–00030–02) and Condition 20.A (License No. 37–00030–08). The Licensee failed to make several prescribed deposits into the decommissioning trust fund over the period from May 2001 to December 2002. The Licensee made all overdue payments by February 2003 to address the deficit that existed at the end of 2002. However, starting in January 2003, the Licensee again failed to make the total prescribed payments into the decommissioning trust fund, resulting in a deficit of $81,000 by the end of November 2003.

Upon learning of the foregoing, on December 19, 2003, the NRC issued a Demand for Information to SLC which required the Licensee to submit to the NRC the following information:

1. Detailed schedule for making all overdue payments, with interest, to the decommissioning trust fund;
2. Reasons why the Licensee did not make the required payments, as scheduled, to the decommissioning trust fund;
3. Reasons why the NRC should have confidence that the Licensee will, in the future, make the required monthly deposits to the decommissioning trust fund;
4. Assurance from the Licensee, that, should it encounter any difficulty making required monthly deposits in the future, it will promptly notify the NRC that there will be a delay in making a specific deposit, and provide the reasons for the delay;
5. Reasons why the NRC should have confidence that in the future, the Licensee will adhere to license conditions and applicable NRC requirements;
6. Reasons why, in light of the Licensee’s past failure to make all required payments to the trust fund, License Nos. 37–00030–02 and 37–00030–08 should not be modified, suspended, or revoked.

On January 16, 2004, the Licensee responded to the Demand for Information and indicated, in part, that the Licensee could not submit a detailed schedule for making overdue payments given the Licensee’s inability to...